Anomalies in the CMB from a cosmic bounce

Dimitrios Kranas

Laboratoire de Physique de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure (LPENS), Paris, France

Standard cosmology at the threshold of change? Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 6 June 2024

In collaboration with I. Agullo, V. Sreenath

Main references:

- I. Agullo, D. Kranas, V. Sreenath, "Large scale anomalies in the CMB and non-Gaussianity in bouncing cosmologies", Class. Quant. Grav., vol. 38, no. 6, p. 065010, 2021.
- I. Agullo, D. Kranas, V. Sreenath, "<u>Anomalies in the CMB from a cosmic bounce</u>", *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, vol. 53, no. 2, p. 17, 2021.
- I. Agullo, D. Kranas, V. Sreenath, "<u>Anomalies in the cosmic microwave</u> background and their non-gaussian origin in loop quantum cosmology", Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences, vol. 8, p. 130, 2021, ISSN: 2296-987X.

Anomalies in the CMB



P.A.R. Ade et al, *Planck 2015* results, A&A, 594, A16, 2016.
N. Aghanim et al, *Planck 2018 results*, A&A 641, A7, 2020.

- Power suppression at angles $\theta \ge 60^{\circ}$.
- Scale-dependent dipolar modulation of the temperature spectrum.
- Preference for odd-parity correlations.
- Tension in the lensing amplitude A_{L} .

Power spectrum suppression







Main message: Observations reveal an absence of correlations at high angles; a feature that seems improbable according to ACDM.

Estimator to quantify total correlations in $\theta \in [60^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}]$:

$$S_{1/2} = \int_{-1}^{1/2} [C(\theta)]^2 d(\cos \theta).$$

 $S^{\rm \Lambda CDM}_{1/2} \approx 45000 \mu {\cal K}^4 \text{, } S^{\rm obs}_{1/2} \approx 1500 \mu {\cal K}^4 \longrightarrow p\text{-value} < 1\%.$

- Observations show a **scale-dependent** hemispherical asymmetry in the CMB (after subtraction of the Doppler shift).
- This feature is observed at large-angular scales (small ℓ values).
- It is sourced from correlations between ℓ and $\ell+1$ modes.
- It is a feature not predicted by the ACDM model as it is associated with the break of isotropy.

- *p*-value: the probability of a realization to be at least as extreme as the observation, given a theoretical model.
- *p*-values of each of the CMB anomalies studied here $\leq 1\%$.

- *p*-value: the probability of a realization to be at least as extreme as the observation, given a theoretical model.
- *p*-values of each of the CMB anomalies studied here $\leq 1\%$.



- 1. We live in an extremely atypical realization of the ACDM model.
- 2. New physics is missing that makes these realizations more typical.

Two interpretations:

1. We live in an extremely atypical realization of the ACDM model.

2. New physics is missing that makes these realizations more typical.

Astronomy & Astrophysics	manuscript	no.	ms
December 5, 2019			

© ESO 2019

Planck 2018 results. I. Overview and the cosmological legacy of Planck

It is worth stressing that none of these so-called anomalies are strongly inconsistent with the assumption of statistical isotropy and Gaussianity, once one marginalises over a set of similar tests. It would nevertheless be premature to completely dismiss all the CMB anomalies as simple fluctuations of a pure ACDM cosmology, since if any of the anomalies have a primordial origin, then their large-scale nature would suggest an explanation rooted in fundamental physics. Thus it is worth exploring any models that might explain an anomaly (or even better, multiple anomalies) naturally, or with very few free parameters. Given a theoretical prediction, new probes of independent modes on similar scales (obtained through more sensitive polarization measurements, lensing, Ly α , or 21-cm studies for example) would increase the significance of existing anomalies and allow us to develop novel probes of early Universe physics. So far the simplest models explaining a single anomaly are not favoured over ACDM (see Planck Collaboration X 2018, and references therein). Further investigation of these anomalies will need to proceed on a case-by-case basis, and will be the subject of future work.

The bouncing model

- Matter: Scalar field
- Geometry: FLRW flat geometry

Around the bounce:

$$\boxed{ a(t) = a_{\mathsf{B}} \left(1 + \frac{R_B}{12n} \right)^n }$$

The bouncing model

- Matter: Scalar field
- Geometry: FLRW flat geometry

Around the bounce:

$$a(t) = a_{
m B} \left(1 + rac{R_B}{12n}
ight)^n$$



Primordial power spectrum

• Mode-evolution:
$$\frac{d^2\hat{v}_k(\eta)}{d\eta^2} + \left(k^2 - a^2(\eta)\frac{R(\eta)}{6}\right)\hat{v}_k(\eta) = 0.$$



• Modes of energy scale $k \le k_{\rm B} \equiv a_{\rm B} \sqrt{R_{\rm B}/6}$ are amplified by the cosmic bounce.

$$egin{aligned} \Phi(m{x},t) &= \phi^{\mathsf{G}}(m{x},t) + rac{1}{2}\int d^{3}yd^{3}z F_{\mathsf{NL}}(m{y},m{z})\phi^{\mathsf{G}}(m{x}+m{y},t)\phi^{\mathsf{G}}(m{x},m{z},t) \ \Phi_{m{k}}(t) &= \phi^{\mathsf{G}}_{m{k}}(t) + rac{1}{2}\int rac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}}f_{\mathsf{NL}}(m{q},m{k}-m{q})\phi^{\mathsf{G}}_{m{q}}(t)\phi^{\mathsf{G}}_{m{k}-m{q}}(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x},t) = \phi^{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{x},t) + \frac{1}{2} \int d^{3}y d^{3}z F_{\mathsf{NL}}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}) \phi^{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},t) \phi^{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},t)$$

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(t) = \phi^{\mathsf{G}}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}} f_{\mathsf{NL}}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}) \phi^{\mathsf{G}}_{\mathbf{q}}(t) \phi^{\mathsf{G}}_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}(t)$$

- Due to statistical homogeneity and isotropy: $f_{NL}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = f_{NL}(k_1, k_2, \hat{k}_1 \cdot \hat{k}_2)$.
- Non-Gaussianities generate three-point functions: $\langle \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) B_{\Phi}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2).$
- Bispectrum: $B_{\Phi}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = f_{\text{NL}}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \left[P_{\phi^{\text{G}}}(\mathbf{k}_1) P_{\phi^{\text{G}}}(\mathbf{k}_2) + 1 \longleftrightarrow 3 + 2 \longleftrightarrow 3 \right].$

 $\langle \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}^* \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1) P_{\phi^{\mathsf{G}}}(\mathbf{k}_1) + f_{\mathsf{NL}}(\mathbf{k}_1, -\mathbf{k}_2) \frac{1}{2} \left[P_{\phi^{\mathsf{G}}}(\mathbf{k}_1) + P_{\phi^{\mathsf{G}}}(\mathbf{k}_2) \right] \phi_{\mathbf{q}^{\mathsf{G}}}.$

 $\langle \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}^* \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1) P_{\phi^{\mathsf{G}}}(\mathbf{k}_1) + f_{\mathsf{NL}}(\mathbf{k}_1, -\mathbf{k}_2) \frac{1}{2} \left[P_{\phi^{\mathsf{G}}}(\mathbf{k}_1) + P_{\phi^{\mathsf{G}}}(\mathbf{k}_2) \right] \phi_{\mathbf{q}^{\mathsf{G}}}.$

• Temperature correlations and cosmological perturbations: $a_{\ell,m} = 4\pi \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} (-1)^{\ell} \Delta_{\ell}(k) Y^*_{\ell m}(\hat{k}) \Phi_{k}, \text{ recall } \delta T(\hat{n}) = \sum_{\ell,m} a_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}).$

 $\langle \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}^* \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1) P_{\phi^{\mathsf{G}}}(\mathbf{k}_1) + f_{\mathsf{NL}}(\mathbf{k}_1, -\mathbf{k}_2) \frac{1}{2} \left[P_{\phi^{\mathsf{G}}}(\mathbf{k}_1) + P_{\phi^{\mathsf{G}}}(\mathbf{k}_2) \right] \phi_{\mathbf{q}^{\mathsf{G}}}.$

- Temperature correlations and cosmological perturbations: $a_{\ell,m} = 4\pi \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} (-1)^{\ell} \Delta_{\ell}(k) Y^*_{\ell m}(\hat{k}) \Phi_k, \text{ recall } \delta T(\hat{n}) = \sum_{\ell,m} a_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}).$
- Modulated temperature correlations:

 $\langle a_{\ell m}a^*_{\ell'm'}\rangle = C_\ell \delta_{\ell\ell'}\delta_{mm'} + (-1)^{m'}\sum_{L,M}A^{LM}_{\ell\ell'}C_{\ell,m,\ell',-m'}.$

 $\langle \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}^* \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1) P_{\phi^{\mathsf{G}}}(\mathbf{k}_1) + f_{\mathsf{NL}}(\mathbf{k}_1, -\mathbf{k}_2) \frac{1}{2} \left[P_{\phi^{\mathsf{G}}}(\mathbf{k}_1) + P_{\phi^{\mathsf{G}}}(\mathbf{k}_2) \right] \phi_{\mathbf{q}^{\mathsf{G}}}.$

- Temperature correlations and cosmological perturbations: $a_{\ell,m} = 4\pi \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} (-1)^{\ell} \Delta_{\ell}(k) Y^*_{\ell m}(\hat{k}) \Phi_k, \text{ recall } \delta T(\hat{n}) = \sum_{\ell,m} a_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}).$
- Modulated temperature correlations:

 $\langle a_{\ell m} a^*_{\ell' m'} \rangle = C_{\ell} \delta_{\ell \ell'} \delta_{m m'} + (-1)^{m'} \sum_{L,M} A^{LM}_{\ell \ell'} C_{\ell,m,\ell',-m'}.$

• L = 0: monopolar modulation, L = 1: dipolar modulation, L = 2: quadrupolar modulation.



Our strategy

- Consider a profile $f_{NL}(k_1, k_2, k_3) = f_{NL,o} e^{-\alpha(k_1+k_2+k_3)/k_B}$ and fix $f_{NL,o}$ such that $S_{1/2}^{obs}$ has a p-value= 20%.
- Now explore how such an $f_{\rm NL}$ affects $\langle a_{\ell m} a^*_{\ell m} \rangle$, and, consequently the anomalous features.

$$\mathcal{C}^{\mathsf{mod}}_\ell = \mathcal{C}_\ell \delta_{\ell\ell'} \delta_{mm'} + (-1)^{m'} \sum_{L,M} \mathcal{A}^{LM}_{\ell\ell'} \mathcal{C}_{\ell,m,\ell',-m'}$$











Main message: Power suppression at larger angles is a more likely feature of the modulated correlations.

Preference for odd-parity multipoles

$$R^{\mathsf{TT}}(\ell_{\mathsf{max}}) = \frac{D_{\mathsf{even}}}{D_{\mathsf{odd}}}, \quad D_{\mathsf{even},\mathsf{odd}}(\ell_{\mathsf{max}}) = \frac{1}{\ell_{\mathsf{tot}}} \sum_{\ell \in [2,\ell_{\mathsf{max}}]}^{\mathsf{even},\mathsf{odd}} \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2\pi} C_{\ell}.$$

$$R^{\mathsf{TT}}(\ell_{\mathsf{max}}) = \frac{D_{\mathsf{even}}}{D_{\mathsf{odd}}}, \quad D_{\mathsf{even},\mathsf{odd}}(\ell_{\mathsf{max}}) = \frac{1}{\ell_{\mathsf{tot}}} \sum_{\ell \in [2,\ell_{\mathsf{max}}]}^{\mathsf{even},\mathsf{odd}} \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2\pi} C_{\ell}.$$







Main message: Preference for odd-parity correlations is a more likely feature of the modulated spectrum.

$$\langle a_{\ell m} a_{\ell' m'}^* \rangle = C_{\ell} \delta_{\ell \ell'} \delta_{m m'} + (-1)^{m'} \sum_{L,M} A_{\ell \ell'}^{LM} C_{\ell,m,\ell',-m'}.$$





Main message: The modulated spectrum can generate a scale-dependent dipole in the sky.

[Delgado, Durrer, Pinto-Neto (2021)], [van Tent, Delgado, Durrer (2023)]

$$B_{\ell_1\ell_2\ell_3} = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^3 \int_0^\infty dx x^2 \int_0^\infty dk_1 \int_0^\infty dk_2 \int_0^\infty dk_3 \left[\prod_{j=1}^3 \Delta_{\ell_j}(k_j) j_{\ell_j}(k_j x)\right] (k_1k_2k_3)^3 B(k_1,k_2,k_3).$$

Result of the analysis

The non-Gaussian profile $f_{NL}(k_1, k_2, k_3) = f_{NL,o} e^{-\alpha(k_1+k_2+k_3)/k_B}$, with $f_{NL,o} \sim 10^3$ is excluded by Planck data (by more than 5σ).

However...

Bouncing models produce oscillations in $f_{NL}(k_1, k_2, k_3)$ [Agullo-Boilet-Sreenath (2018)].



which suppress significantly the projected bispectrum $B(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3)$ making it compatible with Planck data [Roshna-Sreenath (2023)].

• A cosmic bounce preceding the inflationary era can generate non-Gaussian correlations between super-Hubble modes and modes at the longest wavelengths in the CMB.

- A cosmic bounce preceding the inflationary era can generate non-Gaussian correlations between super-Hubble modes and modes at the longest wavelengths in the CMB.
- These non-Gaussianities could potentially bias the correlations of CMB modes producing features such as power suppression, preference for odd-parity correlations, and a dipolar asymmetry. (See also [Ashtekar, Gupt, Sreenath (2021)] for the power suppression and lensing anomalies).

- A cosmic bounce preceding the inflationary era can generate non-Gaussian correlations between super-Hubble modes and modes at the longest wavelengths in the CMB.
- These non-Gaussianities could potentially bias the correlations of CMB modes producing features such as power suppression, preference for odd-parity correlations, and a dipolar asymmetry. (See also [Ashtekar, Gupt, Sreenath (2021)] for the power suppression and lensing anomalies).
- Oscillations in the primordial non-Gaussianities are generated in bouncing scenarios and are important to be considered.

- A cosmic bounce preceding the inflationary era can generate non-Gaussian correlations between super-Hubble modes and modes at the longest wavelengths in the CMB.
- These non-Gaussianities could potentially bias the correlations of CMB modes producing features such as power suppression, preference for odd-parity correlations, and a dipolar asymmetry. (See also [Ashtekar, Gupt, Sreenath (2021)] for the power suppression and lensing anomalies).
- Oscillations in the primordial non-Gaussianities are generated in bouncing scenarios and are important to be considered.

Observing the "Planck" physics in the Planck data is a mind-blowing possibility!

Additional Slides

Temperature correlations

$$\delta T(\hat{n}) = \frac{T(\hat{n}) - \bar{T}}{\bar{T}} = \sum_{\ell,m} a_{\ell,m} Y_{\ell,m}, \qquad \langle a_{\ell,m} a_{\ell',m'}^* \rangle = C_{\ell} \delta_{\ell'} \delta_{m'm}.$$

$$C_\ell = rac{2}{\pi}\int dk k^2 \Delta_\ell(k) P_\phi(k)$$

$$C(heta)\equiv \langle \delta T(\hat{n})\delta T(\hat{n}')
angle = rac{1}{4\pi}\sum_\ell (2\ell+1)C_\ell P_\ell(\cos heta), hetapprox rac{\pi}{\ell}$$

• [P. C. M. Delgado, R. Drurer, N. Pinto-Neto]:

"The cumulative signal-to-noise ratio of the bispectrum induced in the CMB from scales $\ell < 30$ is larger than 10 in all cases of interest and therefore can, in principle, be detected in the Planck data."

• [P. C. M. Delgado, R. Drurer, N. Pinto-Neto]:

"The cumulative signal-to-noise ratio of the bispectrum induced in the CMB from scales $\ell < 30$ is larger than 10 in all cases of interest and therefore can, in principle, be detected in the Planck data."

• [B. van Tent, P. C. M. Delgado, R. Drurer]:

"These models can help to mitigate the large-scale anomalies of the CMB by considering substantial non-Gaussianities on very large scales, which **decay exponentially on sub-horizon scales**... In this letter we show that bouncing models with parameters such that they can significantly mitigate the large-scale anomalies of the CMB are excluded by the Planck data with high significance of, depending on the specific model, 5.4, 6.4 or 14 standard deviations."